

Antoine v. Byers & Anderson, Inc.

508 U.S. 429 (1993)

Supreme Court of the United States

Issue: Declined to extend absolute immunity to court reporters

Holding on Judicial Immunity

A court reporter who failed to produce a transcript is not protected by absolute (quasi-judicial) immunity, only qualified immunity. Court reporters do not exercise the discretionary judgment that the doctrine of judicial immunity is designed to protect.

Key Quotations (verbatim)

"[C]ourt reporters do not exercise the kind of judgment that is protected by the doctrine of judicial immunity."

— Antoine v. Byers & Anderson, Inc., 508 U.S. 429, 437 (1993).

"Court reporters were not among the class of persons protected by judicial immunity in the 19th century."

— Antoine v. Byers & Anderson, Inc., 508 U.S. 429, 433 (1993).

Full opinion (authoritative source):

<https://www.courtlistener.com/opinion/112876/antoine-v-byers-anderson-inc/>

This case file states the court's holding on judicial immunity and reproduces verbatim, pin-cited excerpts for research and citation. It is a research summary, not the complete slip opinion; consult the linked source for the full text and to Shepardize/verify current status before relying on it in a filing.